

Russell L. Johnson (SBN 053833)
rljohnson@sidley.com
Peter H. Kang (SBN 158101)
pkang@sidley.com
Teague I. Donahey (SBN 197531)
tdonahey@sidley.com
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
555 California Street
San Francisco, California 94104
Telephone: (415) 772-1200
Facsimile: (415) 772-7400

Attorneys for Plaintiff
AMKOR TECHNOLOGY, INC.

William J. Bohler (SBN 141970)
wjbohler@townsend.com
Gregory S. Bishop (SBN 184680)
gsbishop@townsend.com
Igor Shoiket (SBN 190066)
ishoiket@townsend.com
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP
379 Lytton Avenue
Palo Alto, California 94301
Telephone: (650) 326-2400
Facsimile: (650) 326-2422

Attorneys for Defendants
CARSEM (M) SDN BHD, CARSEM SEMICONDUCTOR SDN BHD, and CARSEM INC.,

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

AMKOR TECHNOLOGY, INC.,)	No. C 03-5116 VRW (filed Nov. 18, 2003)
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	FIFTH JOINT STATUS REPORT
)	CONCERNING STAY OF PROCEEDINGS
)	
)	
CARSEM (M) SDN BHD, CARSEM)	
SEMICONDUCTOR SDN BHD, and)	
CARSEM INC.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

1 Pursuant to the Court's request, *see* Docket No. 27 (Sept. 13, 2006), the parties hereby
2 submit a Fifth Joint Status Report Concerning Stay of Proceedings. The parties are continuing to
3 litigate their dispute before the U.S. International Trade Commission ("ITC") in the investigation
4 styled *In the Matter of Certain Encapsulated Integrated Circuit Devices and Products Containing*
5 *Same*, ITC Inv. No. 337-TA-501. The Administrative Law Judge issued an Initial Determination on
6 November 18, 2004. Thereafter, on February 1, 2005, the ITC decided to review the ALJ's Initial
7 Determination. The ITC modified the ALJ's claim construction regarding certain terms and
8 remanded the investigation back to the ALJ for further findings in light of these changes. On
9 November 9, 2005, the ALJ issued a second Initial Determination, which is now before the ITC. On
10 February 9, 2006, the ITC issued an order stating that "the target date for completion of this
11 investigation is extended to a date that is three (3) months after completion of the pending ASAT,
12 Inc. subpoena enforcement proceedings in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia." The
13 parties do not know when the ASAT, Inc. subpoena enforcement proceedings will be completed in
14 the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia.

15 Therefore, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1659, the parties respectfully request that the Stay Order
16 remain in effect until the determination of the Commission in the ITC Inv. No. 337-TA-501 becomes
17 final.

Pursuant to General Order 45(X), Plaintiff obtained concurrence in the filing of this document from counsel for Defendants, as listed below.

Dated: September 26, 2006

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

By: /s/ Teague I. Donahey

Teague I. Donahey
Attorneys For Plaintiff
Amkor Technology, Inc.

Dated: September 26, 2006

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP

By: /s/ Igor Shoiket

Igor Shoiket
Attorneys For Defendants
Carsem (M) Sdn Bhd, Carsem Semiconductor
Sdn Bhd, and Carsem Inc.

